Response to Geocoding-protected health information using online services may compromise patient privacy - Comments on “Evaluation of the positional difference between two common geocoding methods” by Duncan et al.

Dear Editor,

We thank Mak for his positive comments about our article previously published in Geospatial Health (Duncan et al., 2011), and for bringing attention to the important issue of potentially compromising patient privacy (including protected health information) when geocoding such data using online services. We acknowledge that we did not discuss the issue of confidentiality when geocoding data using online services, and we appreciate the opportunity to briefly reflect on this topic. Assurance in protecting participants’ confidentiality is of the utmost importance, and special care should be given to geospatial datasets with individual-level sensitive health information. Accidental sharing of this information may result in job discrimination, and social stigma, to name a few. In this case, use of online geocoding services can inadvertently disclose individual location (and perhaps other) information to an external organisation, since addresses are loaded onto an external server. Even if data storage on the server is temporary and anonymous there is still reason for concern due to breached privacy. Therefore, the nature of online geocoding services may not be suitable for projects with individual-level sensitive/confidential data.

Yet, it is worth mentioning that security procedures are determined by the characteristics of the research. In some projects, including the one discussed in our article, study participants are not patients. Specific security procedures can be specified in a data management plan, approved by an institution’s human subjects committee, and described in the process of obtaining informed consent from study participants. In some cases confidentiality can be protected by using a large enough geographic level. Indeed, there could be a certain level of spatial aggregation suitable for online geocoding. For instance, when geocoding is used to find geographical coordinates for zip codes in a dataset using online geocoding services may not compromise study participants’ locations. The US Census Bureau considers a census block as the smallest spatial unit at which confidentiality of census respondents can be preserved, but the scale and nature of the data should determine if online geocoding services are suitable or not. We cannot assume that a census block is an adequate unit to protect confidentiality of health data, since one needs to consider several issues that could potentially facilitate the identification of subjects in the block (e.g. the rarity of the health event, and the selectivity of the disease by age group, race and gender). Common sense and care from the researcher/practitioner is absolutely crucial in deciding which services to utilise for geocoding.

We note that several methods to ensure confidentiality in geocoding have been discussed previously, including when using online geocoding services (such as submitting randomised bundles of erroneous as well as real data) (Gittler, 2008; Goldberg, 2008). Also, it is worth noting that disclosure and confidentiality agreements can and should be agreed upon between the submitter of the data and the service provider. We urge public health researchers and practitioners to adapt existing policies, guidelines and protocols for geocoding, develop new ones (as needed), and effectively use them in order to ensure confidentiality. This issue was discussed at the First International Geospatial Geocoding Conference (http://geocodingconference.com/) in December 2011. Given the increasing prominence of online services in the future, we hope that new recommendations will also address circumstances when one should (or should not) use online geocoding.
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